Justice Asked to Answer on Buggin

By John P Mackenzie Was hinging por Staff Wife:

ment to justify is controver as to whether each instance of from a Denver lawyer. sial policy of disclosure and non-disclosure in electronic eavesdropping cases

The Court's order, issued heard. Dec 4. gave Solicitor General ment refuses to tell two Las Vegas gambling figures whethby Federal agents.

little understood aspect of At- dropping. snooping

ernment attorneys have con-tion. voluntarily, that about two Government has the legal "did not come within the De-chance to respond. dozen prosecutions contained right of non-disclosure or partment's policy of discloevidence obtained by caves whether, as Washington at sure." dropping and wiretrapping torney Edward Bennett Wil- "The Department will unson banned the practices in formed in each case

painstaking search of investi- 9 to consider the case of Wil- that the Government has

conversations have been over-

The order has emphasized a follow each discovery of eaves- dicate.

and lower tribunais, usually Supreme Court is whether the stead that Alderisio's case the Solicitor General the techniques that were em- liams argues, the hugging ve- dertake to make disclosures played before President John-tim or a court must be in- to the courts if it finds (1)

gative files in hundreds of he I Alderman, Felix A Alder- and lower tribuansl, usually pending court cases and a decisio and the late Ruby Kolod, uon which is arguably rele-The Supreme Court has ession by a committee of high who were convicted of con vant to the litigation incalled on the Justice Department officials spiring to extort payments volved."

eavesdropping warrants dis- Williams promptly informed ing Williams called this a closure to a defendant that his the Court that he intended to "truly extraordinary reply" petition for a rehearing based that claimed the power of the partiy on alleged electronic Government to be "judge of Civil libertarians have ap surveillance of Alderisio's its own cause in a field where to explain why the Govern plauded the program and con- "place of business" in Chi-reputation stands most to be servatives have denounced it, cago. Alderisio, otherwise sullied by disclosure of illebut neither side has paid much known as "Milwaukee Phil," is gality." er one of them was "bugged" attention to the fact that dis- one of the reputed "enforc- Williams said that Alderisio closures do not automatically ers" of Chicago's crime syn- and Alderman were entitled at

Williams told the Court that probably a new trial. torney General Ramsey Clark's Instead, the Justice Depart- he had asked Government. The Court then filed its oryear old program of purging ment reserves the power to lawyers to check their records der, which said simply, "The the Federal criminal dockets withhold disclosure when it in line with Clark's disclosure Soliciter General is requested of cases tainted by electronic determines that the bugging policy. In its answer, the Gov- to file a response to the petiof an individual had little or ernment neither admitted nor tion for rehearing within 30 Under the program Gov- nothing to do with his prosecu- denied the eavesdropping days." charge.

that there has been an elec-The dispute arose shortly tronic surveillance which is The policy has required a after the Court refused on Oct. or may be unlawful and (2)

In his petition for a rehear-

least to a court hearing and

The Justices never grant lessed to the Supreme Court. The issue now before the The Government replied in such petitions without giving